

Abstract

When students are faced with a programming problem unlike any they have solved before, prior research suggests that they develop code backwards from essential computations in the problem. Some curricula, however, teach students to first write scaffolding code based on the type of the input data. How do these two approaches interact? We gave CS1 students who were taught to write scaffolding code a programming problem unlike any they had seen before. We found that while students put essential computations into the scaffolds, they often overuse affordances of the scaffolds in ways that lead to plancomposition errors. We propose that steering students away from on-the-fly decomposition while programming could help avoid some of these errors.

Methodology

Research Questions

- 1. When do HTDP-trained students use templates?
- 2. How do focal computations manifest in HTDP programs?
- 3. How and when do HTDP students integrate focal computations into existing code?

Data Collection

- Spring 2015 CS1 course using HTDP in Racket
- Participants worked on the Adding Machine problem during a weekly lab session
- Video captured activity within the IDE window
- 25 (of 138) submissions analyzed



On the Interplay Between Bottom-Up and Datatype-Driven Program Design









Francisco Castro, fgcastro@wpi.edu Advisor: Kathi Fisler, kfisler@wpi.edu **Department of Computer Science**

Acknowledgments

We thank Joe Beck for letting us collect data in his course and Mike Clancy for pointing us to the Adding Machine problem. This research is partially funded by the US NSF under grant number CCF1116539.